

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	Examiner: Tran, A.
)	
Shah, et al.)	Art Unit: 4121
)	
Application No. 10/774,214)	Confirmation No.: 6914
)	
Filed: February 5, 2004)	
)	
For: Proximity Based Lossless)	
Compression of Client)	
<u>Information in a Network Device</u>)	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

A telephonic interview was conducted between the Examiner and Applicant's representative (the undersigned) on 1/27/2009.

The parties discussed the restriction requirement from the Office Action dated 10/27/2008. In particular, Applicant's representative explained Applicant's traversal of the restriction requirement, as set forth in detail in the amendment filed on 1/27/2009.

In addition, the parties discussed the rejection of claim 50 under 35 U.S.C. § 101. Applicant's representative explained Applicant's traversal of the rejection as set forth in detail in the amendment filed on 1/27/2009.

In addition, the parties discussed the rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Applicant's representative discussed the claim amendments to the independent claims in the amendment filed on 1/27/2009 in relation to the cited references and how the added limitations distinguish from the cited combination of references, as well as other reasons why the claims are thought

to distinguish over the cited combination of references, as set forth in detail in the amendment filed on 1/27/2009.


No particular agreement was reached, however, the Examiner agreed to give applicant's amendments and arguments full consideration.

If there are any additional charges, please charge Deposit Account No. 50-2207.

Respectfully submitted,
PERKINS COIE LLP

Dated: _____

1/28/09


Jordan M. Becker
Reg. No. 39,602

Customer no. 77042
P.O. Box 1208
Seattle, WA 98111-1208
(650) 838-4300